



BOLETIN EXTRAORDINARIO

DEL VIERNES 6 DE ENERO DE 1854

El Sr. Subsecretario del Ministerio de la Gobernacion con fecha de ayer y por extraordinario me dice lo siguiente:

La Reina (q. D. g.) con el favor de la divina providencia ha dado à luz felizmente una Augusta Infanta á las diez y cuarto de esta mañana. S. M. y la recien nacida continúan en estado satisfactorio. De órden del Sr. Ministro de la Gobernacion lo participo á V. S. por extraordinario para su inteligencia y á fin de que haga público en esa provincia tan fausto acontecimiento.

Cuya importante noticia me apresuro á participar por medio del presente Boletin extraordinario á los leales habitantes de esta provincia, para su conocimiento y satisfaccion. Zamora 6 de Enero de 1854.---Antonio Guerola.

Imp. de Pablo Vallecillo.

ON THE USE OF EXPERTS

BY JAMES W. FISHER

5

There is no question more important than that of the use of experts in the conduct of trials. It is a question which has been much discussed, and many different views have been expressed. Some people are in favor of the use of experts, while others are opposed to it. There are several reasons why experts should be used in trials. One reason is that they can give testimony which is more reliable than that of laymen. They are usually more experienced and have a better understanding of the subject matter. Another reason is that they can provide objective evidence which can be used to support or refute a particular claim. Experts can also help to clarify complex issues by providing clear and concise explanations. However, there are also some disadvantages to using experts. One disadvantage is that they can be expensive to hire. Another disadvantage is that they may not always be available when needed. Finally, there is the risk that they may be biased or influenced by their own personal opinions. In conclusion, the use of experts in trials is a complex issue that requires careful consideration. It is important to weigh the pros and cons before making a decision.